

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/069,330	Applicant(s) FEENSTRA, FRITS KORNELIS	
	Examiner Stefan Staicovici	Art Unit 1732	

All Participants:

(1) Stefan Staicovici.

(2) Mr. Michael Mercanti.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 22 February 2005

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

Those in case

Prior art documents discussed:

Art of record

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Michael Mercanti indicated that the instant application does not require the use of a binder to be cured to form the dental element as taught by Brodtkin et al. ('728), but rather employs a "flowable mass of a curable nanomeric material" that is applied in "successive layers" and cured itself to bond said layers into the dental element. Further, Mr. Mercanti indicated that the "curable nanomeric material" may include additives, such as colorants. Examiner Staicovici proposed changes to claim 1 in order to provide clear and supported claim language to define the claims over the art of record and to add a new claim 14 that further adds the limitation of a "colorant." Further, Examiner Staicovici indicated that the product-by-process claim is examined based on the structure of the product and that the applied art is still applicable. Mr. Mercanti agreed to the proposed amendments and to cancel the product-by-process claim 12 (see Examiner's amendment).